



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,827	09/01/2000	Glenn D. Rasmussen	240703-1110	6068

23506 7590 06/29/2004

GARDNER GROFF, P.C.
PAPER MILL VILLAGE, BUILDING 23
600 VILLAGE TRACE
SUITE 300
MARIETTA, GA 30067

EXAMINER

TO, BAOQUOC N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2172

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/653,827

Applicant(s)

RASMUSSEN, GLENN D. *Ar*

Examiner

Baoquoc N To

Art Unit

2172

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 05/21/2004 for a Request For Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/653827 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
2. Claims 1-43 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8, 35 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullins (US. Patent No. 5,857,197) in view of Anderson et al. (US. Patent No. 5,799,310).

Regarding on claims 1, 35 and 43-44, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer for transforming a metadata model, the transformer comprising:

a lower-to-higher transformation having:

means for obtaining information of a lower abstraction model object in the lower layer of a metadata model having a lower layer containing one or more lower degree of abstraction model objects having and a higher layer containing one or more higher abstraction model objects having a higher abstraction (col. 4, lines 33-67); and

means for creating a model object in the higher layer a higher corresponding to the model object in the lower layer (col. 4, lines 33-67).

Mullins does not explicitly teach means for abstracting the information by adding business rules for representing a business concept. However, Anderson teaches, "the user's application data table and object data are the bottom layer of the system. Metadata tables are maintained to manage and access the data tables." (col. 7, lines 47-50). In addition, Anderson also teaches "a business table 312 column containing the object handle 310 is defined as the corresponding complex data type, or UDT. The interface to the object consists of UDFs, that take the object handle as a parameter and store, access, retrieve, search and otherwise manipulate the object data" (col. 6, lines 39-44). This teaches the business rules are added in order to manipulate the object data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mullins's system to include the business rules as taught by Anderson in order to manipulate the object data.

Regarding on claim 2, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer comprising:

a lower layer transformation having:

means for obtaining information from model object in the lower layer (col. 4, lines 33-67);

means for modifying the obtained information (col. 4, lines 33-67); and

means for transforming the model object in a lower layer based on the modified information (col. 4, lines 33-67).

Regarding on claim 3, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer comprising:
a lower layer transformation having:

means for obtaining information from a model objects in the lower layer (col. 4, lines 33-66);

means for determining a specific feature included in the obtained information (col. 4, line 33-66); and

means for creating a new model object based in the lower layer on the specific feature (col. 4, lines 33-66).

Regarding on claim 4, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer comprising:
a lower layer transformation having:

means for obtaining relationship information between multiple model objects in the lower layer (col. 4, lines 33-66); and

means for creating a new model object in the higher layer based on the relationship information (col. 4, lines 33-66).

Regarding on claim 5, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer as claimed in claim 1 further comprising:

a higher layer transformation having:

means for obtaining information of a model object in the higher layer (col. 4, lines 44-66);

means for modifying the obtained information (col. 4, lines 33-66); and

Means for transforming the higher abstraction model object based on the modified information (col. 4, lines 33-66).

Regarding on claim 6, Mullins teach metadata model transformer as claimed in claim 1 further comprising:

a higher layer transformation having:

means for obtaining information of a higher abstraction model objects from the higher layer (col. 4, lines 33-66);

means for determining a specific feature included in the obtained information (col. 4, lines 33-66); and

means for creating a new higher abstraction model object based on the specific feature (col. 4, lines 33-66).

Regarding on claim 7, Mullins teaches a metadata model transformer further comprising:

a higher layer transformation having:

means for obtaining relationship information between multiple higher abstraction model objects from the higher layer (col. 4, lines 33-66);and

means for creating a new higher abstraction model object based on the relationship information (col. 4, lines 33-66).

Regarding on claim 8, Mullins teach a metadata model transformer further comprising:

a higher layer transformation having:

means for selecting a subset of the higher abstraction model objects from the higher layer (col. 4, lines 33-66); a

means for creating a new higher abstraction model object based on the selected subset of the higher abstraction model objects (col. 4, lines 33-66),

5. Claims 9-21, 24-33 and 36-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullins et al. (US. Patent No. 5,857,197) in view of Fink (US. Patent No. 6,490,590).

Regarding on claims 9 and 36, Mullins teaches a metadata model transformer for transforming a metadata model that represents one or more data sources having physical data, the transformation comprising:

one or more data access model transformations for refining description of the physical data in the data source expressed by data access model objects in a metadata model having a data access layer containing the data access model objects having a

Art Unit: 2172

lower degree of abstraction, a business layer containing business model objects having a higher degree of abstraction compared to the data access model objects, and a package containing package model objects (col. 4, lines 33-48);

one or more data access to business model transformations for constructing business model objects based on the data access model objects (col. 4, lines 33-48);

one or more business model transformations for refining the business rules expressed by the business model objects (col. 4, lines 33-48); and

one or more business to package model transformations for constructing package model objects based on the business model objects (col. 4, lines 33-38).

Although, Mullins does not explicitly teach the refining the business rules; however, Fink teaches, "SME refines the business rule metadata to reflect the client's business" (col. 8, lines 20-22). This teaches the refines business rule metadata. Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mullins to include refining business rules of Fink because refining rule metadata would allow the creation of the object model in order to aid the business application.

Regarding on claims 10 and 37, Mullins teaches the data access model transformations refines the description by adding new data access model objects to data access model objects which are constructed via import from the data sources or one or more metadata sources (col. 4, lines 33-48).

Regarding on claims 11 and 38, Fink teaches the business model transformations refine the business rules by changing the business model objects (col. 8, lines 20-22).

Regarding on claims 12 and 39, Mullins teaches the business model objects include business model objects which are constructed via import from one or more metadata sources (col. 4, lines 8-20).

Regarding on claims 13 and 40, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer further comprising:

one or more package model transformations for constructing a new package model object based on the package model objects in the model (col. 4, lines 33-48).

Regarding on claims 14 and 41, Mullins teaches the package model objects include package model objects which are constructed via import from one or more metadata sources (col. 4, lines 33-48).

Regarding on claims 15 and 42, Mullins teaches metadata model transformer further comprising: a name mutation transformation for changing names of objects in the model based on user defined rules (col. 4, lines 33-48).

Regarding on claim 16, Mullins teaches the data access model transformations include a transformation which creates a new data access model object based on the data access model objects contained in the data access layer (col. 4, lines 33-48).

Regarding on claim 17, Mullins teaches the data sources contain tables having columns and indexes; the data access model objects include data access tables, data access columns and data access indexes which respectively describe information about the tables, columns and indexes in the data sources; and the data access model transformations include a data access join constructing transformation for constructing a data access join between data access tables based on the data access indexes (col. 4, lines 8-20).

Regarding on claim 18, Mullins teaches the data sources contain tables having columns and indexes; the data access model objects include data access tables, data access columns and data access indexes which respectively describe information about the tables, columns and indexes in the data sources; and the data access model transformations include a data access key constructing transformation for creating a data access key for a data access table based on the data access indexes (col. 4, lines 8-20).

Regarding on claim 19, Mullins teaches the data sources contain at least one of tables having columns and indexes, views having columns or files having columns or fields:

the data access model objects include at least one of data access tables, data access views, data access files, data access columns and data access indexes which respectively describe information about the tables, columns of the tables, indexes of the tables, the views, the columns of the views, the files, and the columns or fields of the files in the data sources; and the data access model transformations include a table extract constructing transformation for constructing a table extract based on the data access tables, the data access views and the data access files (col. 4, lines 8-20).

Regarding on claim 20, Mullins teaches the data access model objects include one or more logical cube, each of which defines a multidimensional space represented in a number of physical storage formats (col. 4, lines 32-48); and

the data access model transformations include a data access cube constructing transformation for constructing data access cubes to instantiate the multidimensional space defined by each logical cube (col. 4, lines 32-48) .

Regarding on claim 21, Mullins teaches the data access to business model transformations include a basic business model constructing transformation which obtains information about a data access model object in the data access layer, and create a business model object corresponding to the data access model object (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claim 24, Mullins teaches the business model objects include one or more redundant joins that express the transitivity of two or more other join relationships in the business layer (col. 4, lines 32-48); and

the business model transformations include a redundant join relationship eliminating transformation for locating the redundant joins, and eliminating the redundant joins from the business layer (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 25, Mullins teaches the business model transformations include a subclass relationship introducing transformation for introducing a new entity with a subclass relationship into the business layer (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 26, Mullins teaches the business model objects include an entity acting as a lookup table with respect to the other entity, and a business join between the entities, the business join is an associate type; and the business model transformations include an entity referencing transformation for locating the entity acting as a lookup table, and changing the business join which is an association type to a business join which is a reference type (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 27, Mullins teaches the business model transformations include an attribute usage determining transformation for determines the usage of an attribute based on how it is used by other business model objects (col. 4, lines 50-64).

Regarding on claim 28, Mullins teaches the business model transformations include a date usage identifying transformation for examining attributes to determine where dates are used in the attributes (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 29, Mullins teaches the business to package model transformations include a basic package model constructing transformation for constructing a package layer by forming a package with package model objects which corresponds to a subset of the business model objects (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 30, Mullins teaches the package model transformations include a special package construction transformation for constructing a specific package which is usable by a specific client application from a generic package (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 31, Mullins teaches one or more multidimensional model transformations for a multidimensional model (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 32, Mullins teaches the multidimensional model transformations include a measure identifying and measure dimension constructing transformation for analyzing the structure of each data source to identify entities that contain measure candidates and identifying a reasonable set of measures (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 33, Mullins teaches the multidimensional model transformations include a category dimension and level constructing transformation for analyzing each data source, and constructing dimensions and levels for the source model (col. 4, lines 32-48).

Regarding on claim 34, Mullins teaches the multidimensional model transformations include a logical cube constructing transformation for constructing a set of logical cubes based on the dimensions in a corresponding data source (col. 4, lines 32-48).

6. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullins et al. (US. Patent No. 5,847,197) in view of Fink (US. Patent No. 6,490,590) and further in view of Henninger et al. (US. Patent NO. 5,499,371).

Regarding on claim 22, Both Mullins and Fink do not explicitly teach the business model objects include entities that exist as an implementation artifact of a many to many relationship, and many to many business joins associated with the entities; and the business model transformations include a many to many join relationship fixing transformation for locating the entities, and replacing the associated many to many business joins with a single business join. However, Henninger, teaches, "for each many-to-many relationship in the object model, a separate join table is added to the data base schema" [col. 8, lines 51-53]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2172

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mullins by including Henniger in order to provide for using an object model of an object-oriented application to automatically map information between an object-oriented application and a structured database, such as relational database.

Regarding on claim 23, Henninger teaches the business model objects include entities that are related via a 1:1 join relationship (col. 8, lines 48-51); and

the business model transformations include an entity coalescing transformation for locating the entities that are related via a 1:1 join relationship, and coalescing the located entities into a single entity (col. 8, lines 48-51).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is (703) 305-1949 or via e-mail BaoquocN.To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at (703) 305-9790.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231.

Art Unit: 2172

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II


2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Baoquoc N. To

June 23, 2004


Jean M. Cornelius
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2172